



An integrated and modular model for simulating and evaluating how canopy architecture can help reduce fungicide applications

Christian Fournier, Christophe Pradal, Mariem Abichou, Bruno Andrieu, Marie-Odile Bancal, Carole Bedos, Pierre Benoit, Camille Chambon, Romain Chapuis, Eric Cotteux, et al.

► To cite this version:

Christian Fournier, Christophe Pradal, Mariem Abichou, Bruno Andrieu, Marie-Odile Bancal, et al.. An integrated and modular model for simulating and evaluating how canopy architecture can help reduce fungicide applications. 7th International Conference on Functional-Structural Plant Models, Jun 2013, Saariselkä, Finland. pp.345-348. hal-00850818

HAL Id: hal-00850818

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-00850818>

Submitted on 8 Aug 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

An integrated and modular model for simulating and evaluating how canopy architecture can help reduce fungicide applications

Christian Fournier^{1,2}, Christophe Pradal², Mariem Abichou³, Bruno Andrieu³, Marie-Odile Bancal³, Carole Bedos³, Pierre Benoit³, Camille Chambon³, Romain Chapuis^{1,3}, Eric Cotteux⁴, Laure Mamy⁵, Neil Paveley⁶, Valérie Pot³, Sebastien Saint-Jean³, Claire Richard⁷, Carole Sinfort⁴, Alexandra Ter Halle⁷, Eric Van Den Berg⁸, Anne Sophie Walker⁹ and Corinne Robert³

¹INRA-SupAgro UMR 759 LEPSE F-34090 Montpellier, France, ²CIRAD, UMR AGAP and INRIA, Virtual Plants, F-34398 Montpellier, France, ³INRA-AgroParisTech UMR 1091 EGC F-78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France, ⁴IRSTEA-SupAgro UMR ITAP, F-34090 Montpellier, France, ⁵INRA UR 251 PESSAC F-78000 Versailles, France, ⁶ADAS High Mowthorpe, Duggleby, North Yorkshire YO17 8BP United Kingdom, ⁷CNRS-Université Blaise Pascal UMR 6505 LPMM F-63177 Aubière France, ⁸ALTERRA, Research Institute for the Green World, Postbus 47-6700AA, Wageningen, Netherlands, ⁹INRA-AgroParisTech UMR 1290 BIOGER F-78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France.

*correspondence: Christian.Fournier@supagro.inra.fr

Highlights: An integrated model coupling architectural canopy development, disease dynamics, pesticide application, pesticide decay and effect of pesticide on disease dynamics has been developed. It allows simulation of the dynamics of epidemics overall a growth season, together with the evaluation of impacts on environment, yield reduction and erosion of pesticide efficiency. This tool allows for a multi-criteria evaluation of different fungicide applications strategies and for designing new strategies that reduce pesticide applications by increasing natural resistance linked to canopy architecture.

Keywords: Pesticide, architecture, simulation, disease escape, Septoria, Wheat.

INTRODUCTION

European countries are moving towards the promotion of a sustainable agriculture that balances production and profitability with product quality and environmental protection. To reduce the use of chemical protection, it is possible to optimize fungicide applications, use resistant or tolerant varieties and improve the control of pests by an appropriate management of the dynamic of crop canopy architecture.

The canopy architecture determines the life environment of the pathogen: it is responsible for the amount and location of its substrate (healthy leaf surfaces), and for the distances to travel to colonize healthy tissues from infected areas. Focusing on wheat, a number of studies showed that canopy architecture significantly modulates Septoria epidemics (Eyal, 1971, Bahat et al., 1980, Lovell et al. 1997 and 2004, Shaw and Royle, 1993), and that it is a relevant target for improving disease escape (Lovell et al., 2004; Ando et al. 2005). Such effects however vary with climatic condition and are not easy to disentangle experimentally. The recent developments of models that couple a 3D model of the development of architecture and epidemics (Calonnec et al. 2008, Robert et al. 2008, Pangga et al., 2011) makes it possible to better understand the conditions of success of such strategies.

The canopy architecture also influences the interception of the fungicide and its distribution on the plants. This directly determines the fraction of pesticide that actually reaches pathogens, therefore the efficacy of the treatment. When spraying, the pesticide interception by the canopy and the fraction reaching the ground are often estimated empirically or by an expert judgment, although it is possible to assess it by modeling when canopy architecture is known.

Finally, the architecture determines the microclimatic conditions on leaves, and thus the environmental fate of the applied products. The environmental fate of a fungicide depends on its ability to penetrate the plant to degrade, to volatilize to the atmosphere or to be washed-off by rain (Willis et al., 1987). Volatilization from the canopy may represent more than 10% of the applied dose in a few days or weeks depending on the physicochemical nature of the compound, application method, surface properties and climatic conditions (van den Berg et al., 1999). Leaching by rain is a potentially significant source of dissemination of pesticides to soil and water. Its importance depends on the pesticide, the time between treatments, the intensity and duration of rainfall (Aubertot et al. 2005). Photodegradation also involves radiative transfer to the leaf surface (Katagi, 2004). Models recommended for the pesticide registration on

national or EU levels, such as PEARL (Leistra et al., 2001) describe this overall behavior but still face the limits of knowledge about certain processes taking place on the foliage (Scholtz et al., 2002; Leistra et al., 2005) and of simplifications in the representation of canopy architecture.

An integrated assessment of the sustainability of a pesticide reduction strategy must also take into account the erosion of efficacy of chemicals.

The objective of this work is to build an integrated model for simulating the effects of architecture on the dynamics of the epidemics, its interactions with pesticide interception and consequences on the efficacy and the environmental fate of the products. The ultimate goal is to optimize the strategies of fungicide application and to use disease escape linked to architecture in order to reduce the amounts of applied fungicides. In this perspective, we also aimed at providing post-processing utilities for a multi-criteria evaluation of reduction strategies including agronomic criteria (yields), environmental impacts and erosion of efficacy of fungicides, which depends on frequency of treatments.

The model is developed for the pathosystem wheat-Septoria, which is the major disease for wheat in France and Western Europe. This choice is also motivated by the facts that the control of Septoria is based mainly on chemical control that Septoria epidemics are influenced by canopy architecture and that architectural models already exists (Robert et al. 2008).

MODEL DESCRIPTION

The model is mostly based on the coupling of a series of already existing models. We use the OpenAlea platform (Pradal et al, 2008) to perform the coupling. This platform allows for importing models written in different languages, and to wrap them as python software components. One or several integrated models could then be built by chaining the execution of these components in a dataflow. The integrated model is also designed to avoid implicit dependences between components. To do so, all the communication between components is made through data reading/writing on a central structure representing the canopy.

Two main applications were built. A first application allows for the simulation, at an hourly time step of the development of wheat architecture, of Septoria epidemics dynamics, of fungicide application, of fungicide effect on Septoria infectious cycle and of the fate of fungicide on the leaves. It involves six main sub-models, each being divided in several components and connected together in a repeated hourly loop.

The principal sub-models were:

- ADEL-Wheat (Fournier et al., 2003). This model is based on the OpenAlea version of Adel-Wheat (Fournier et al. 2010) that allows for simulating 3D architectural wheat development at different stages. Two main improvements have been added: a new parameterization protocol that allows an automated fit from experimental data (Abichou et al., this volume) and (ii) a new simulation frame that allow for updating a canopy from a given existing state. This module creates or modifies an MTG representing the canopy at different scales, from organ to canopy.
- Septo3D-Cycle: This model simulates the growth of a lesion of Septoria. It has been extracted as an individual component from the Septo3D model (Robert et al., 2008). The model was extended to take into account the effect of fungicide, as described below
- A model of fungicide effect on disease dynamics, adapted from the model of Milne et al. (2007). This model allows for computing the global effect of a mixture of product, given their doses and parameters describing dose-response curve for each product. Dose-response curves describe two effects of a product on fungus: a protectant effect that decrease infection efficiency, and an eradicant effect that reduce the rate of development of the lesions.
- Septo3D-Dispersion: this model originates from Septo3D model (Robert et al. 2008), and was implemented as an independent component using the generic frame defined by Garin et al. (unpublished). It allows for simulating spores dispersal by rain, using a 1D multilayered approach.
- A model simulating fungicide interception. We use the projection algorithm included in the Caribu light model (Chelle et al., 1998) to simulate the surfaces reached by fungicide, together with the quantity of product hitting the surfaces. A physical model (Saint-Jean et al., 2006) is then used to predict the fraction of product that will effectively be fixed on the surface, splashed or leached. This model uses an experimental measurement of droplets size and velocity emitted from the nozzle of the application device.
- A model simulating the persistence of the fungicide on the leaf. We use a special version of the PEARL model (van den Berg, 2008) to estimate dynamically the fraction of product that remains active on the

surface, or that is lost due to penetration into the plant, volatilization or washing by rain. This model is called for every leaf elements in the canopy, using local micro-environment for light distribution and for rain intensity. These two variables were estimated using the Caribu model (Chelle et al, 1998).

A second application evaluates impacts based on the simulation results of the first application. Three impacts were considered:

- Impact on crop performance (yield) is estimated using a model, based on empirical laws established for wheat (Bancal et al., 2007).
- Environmental impacts are computed using standard versions of PEARL (van den Berg 2008) and PRZM (Carsel et al, 1998) models.
- Erosion of fungicide efficacy is estimated as a function of the number of selection events encountered by fungus exposed to products.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study allowed building an integrated application that can be used for assessing several strategies of reduction of fungicide application, with an emphasis on canopy architecture effects. The model results from an assembly of several sources, developed in different laboratories for different uses. OpenAlea platform was particularly suited to achieve such collaborative integration, with support for coupling, documenting and testing. Our first objective was to get an operational integrated model, and this will be demonstrated with simulations of simple scenarios. In a future study, the model will be used for assessing different application strategies. Here, we rather tried to perform partial validation and calibration of each sub models. Occasionally this required an assembly of components slightly different from the integrated model. Partial validations were done by comparing simulation results with experimental data, or by qualitative assessments of model behavior by experts. These validation results include a comparison of the simulated canopy with photographs, a comparison of simulated fungicide interception in the canopy with experimental data, and an overall assessment of the behavior of the models regarding epidemic dynamics as a function of the date of application

LITERATURE CITED

- Ando K, R. Grumet, K. Terpstra and J. D. Kelly. 2005.** Manipulation of plant architecture to enhance crop disease control. *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources* 2007 **2**: No. 026
- Aubertot, J.N., J.M. Barbier, A. Carpentier, J.J. Gril, L. Guichard, P. Lucas, S. Savary, I. Savini, and M. Voltz. 2005.** Pesticides, agriculture et environnement. Réduire l'utilisation des pesticides et limiter leurs impacts environnementaux.. Expertise Scientifique Collective INRA/CEMAGREF.
- Bahat, A., I Gelernter, M.B. Brown and Z. Eyal 1980** Factors affecting the vertical progression of Septoria Leaf Blotch in Short-Statured Wheats. *Phytopathology* **70** (3): 179-184
- Bancal, M-O., Robert, C., Ney. B 2007.** Modelling wheat growth and yield losses from late epidemics of foliar diseases using loss of green leaf area per layer and pre-anthesis reserves. *Annals of Botany*. 2007 : 777-789.
- Calonnec, A., P. Cartolaro, J. M. Naulin, D. Bailey, and M. Langlais. 2008.** A host pathogen simulation model: powdery mildew of grapevine. *Plant Pathology* **57**:493-508
- Carsel R.F., Imhoff J.C., Hummel P.R., Cheplick J.M., Donigan A.S.Jr., 1998.** PRZM 3, a model for predicting pesticide and nitrogen fate in the crop root and unsaturated soil zones: Users manual for release 3.12. *National Exposure Research Laboratory*, Office of Research and Development, US Environment Protection Agency.
- Chelle M., Andrieu B., Bouatouch K. – 1998** - Nested radiosity for plant canopies. *The Visual Computer*, 14:109-125
- Eyal, Z. 1971.** The kinetics of pycnidiospore liberation in Septoria tritici. *Canadian Journal of Botany.*, **49**: 1095-1099.
- Fournier C., Andrieu B., Ljutovac S. & Saint-Jean S. 2003.** ADEL-wheat: a 3D architectural model of wheat development. In: *Plant Growth Modeling and Applications* (eds B.-G. Hu & M. Jaeger), pp. 54-66. Tsinghua University Press and Springer, Beijing, China
- Fournier C., Pradal C., Louarn G., Combes D., Soulié J.-C., Luquet D., Boudon F. & Chelle M. 2010** Building modular FSPM under OpenAlea: concepts and applications. In: *FSPM 2010. Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Functional-Structural Plant Models* (eds T. DeJong & D.D. Silva), pp. 97-100. Plant Science Department, University of California, Davis
- Katagi T 2004** Photodegradation of pesticides on plant and soil surfaces. *Rev Environ Contam Toxicol* **182**: 1-189.
- Leistra, M., van der Linden, A.M.A., Boesten, J.J.T.I., Tiktak, A. and van den Berg, F., 2001.** PEARL model pesticide behaviour and emissions in soil-plant systems; Descriptions of the processes in FOCUS PEARL v 1.1.1. Alterra-report 013, ISSN 1566-7197, RIVM report 711401 009, Alterra RIVM, Wageningen, 115p.

- Leistra, M. 2005.** Estimating input data for computations on the volatilisation of pesticides from plant canopies and competing processes. *Aterra report 1256*
- Lovell, D.J., Parker, S.R., Hunter, T., Royle, D.J. and Coker, R.R., 1997.** Influence of crop growth and structure on the risk of epidemics by *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (*Septoria tritici*) in winter wheat. . *Plant Pathology*, **46**(1): 126-138.
- Lovell, D.J., Parker, S.R., Hunter, T., Welham, S.J. and Nichols, A.R., 2004.** Position of inoculum in the canopy affects the risk of septoria tritici blotch epidemics in winter wheat. *Plant Pathology*, **53**(1): 11-21
- Milne A., Paveley N., Audsley E. and Parsons D. 2007.** A model of the effect of fungicides on disease-induced yield loss, for use in wheat disease management decision support system. *Annals of Applied Biology*. **151**, p.113-125
- Pangga, I.B., J. Hanan, and S. Chakraborty. 2011.** Pathogen dynamics in a crop canopy and their evolution under changing climate. *Plant Pathology* **60**:70-81.
- Pradal C., Dufour-Kowalski S., Boudon F., Fournier C. & Godin C. 2008** OpenAlea: a visual programming and component-based software platform for plant modelling. *Functional Plant Biology*, **35**, 751-760
- Robert C., Fournier C., Andrieu B., Ney B. 2008.** Coupling a 3D virtual wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) plant model with a *Septoria tritici* epidemic model (Septo3D): a new approach to investigate plant-pathogen interactions linked to canopy architecture. *Functional Plant Biology*, **35** (10) p 997-1013.
- Saint-Jean S., A. Testa, L. V. Madden, et L. Huber. 2006.** Relationship between pathogen splash dispersal gradient and Weber number of impacting drop. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **141**(2-4):257-26.
- Scholtz, M.T., Voldner, E., McMillan, A.C. and Van Heyst, B.J., 2002.** A pesticide emission model (PEM) Part I: model development. *Atmospheric Environment* **36**, 5005-5013.
- Shaw, M.W. and D.J. Royle. 1993.** Factors determining the severity of epidemics of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* on winter wheat in the UK. *Plant Pathology*, **42**:882-899
- van den Berg, F., Kubiak, R., Benjey, W.G., Majewski, M.S., Yates, S.R., Reeves, G.L., Smelt, J.H. and van der Linden, A.M.A., 1999.** Emission of pesticides into the air. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* **115**, 195-218.
- van den Berg, F., Bedos, C. and Leistra, M. 2008.** Volatilisation of pesticides computed with the PEARL model for different initial distributions within the crop canopy, *International Advances in Pesticide Application*. Association of Applied Biology, Cambridge (UK), 131-138.
- Willis and McDowell, 1987.** Pesticide persistence on foliage. *Reviews Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **100** : 23-73